

medaxis

THE VALUE OF A GOOD DEBRIDEMENT



White paper by Dr. Mark D. Cregan

debritom⁺
micro water jet debridement

A good debridement is a requirement to kick start wound healing, however 19% of patients who receive wound debridement as an inpatient are readmitted to hospital within 30 days due to wound complications. For those over the age of 65, this rate rises to 25%, making failed wound healing the second highest reason for readmissions to hospital in the USA¹.




For DFU patients, research has shown that between 41%² and 63% of patients require readmission, and most of these required a surgical intervention³. This is supported by an analysis of 30 day readmissions in patients following lower extremity bypass that showed that 17% of patients were readmitted due to wound management or graft failure and that these complications were strongly associated with subsequent limb loss⁴.

An analysis of Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery patients undergoing debridement, showed that 12% needed to be readmitted due to wound complications⁵. Whilst for patients who have had vascular surgery, the most common cause for readmission following vascular surgery was related to wound complications, comprising approximately 30% of the entire readmission cohort⁶.

The per patient cost to the hospital of these failed debridement-related readmissions has been shown to range between was \$18,300⁶ and \$50,000³.

The recent innovation of Micro Water Jet Technology offers a promising solution to these debridement-related complications. Due to the nature of the technology, the application of pressure on the wound can be modulated through the choice of type of hand piece and also by the distance and angle the hand piece is held from the wound⁷. This flexibility allows it to offer a gentle outpatient debridement or alternatively for stronger, surgical debridements in the OR.

Further, a recent study described how the use of Micro Water Jet Technology rather than other hydrosurgical debridement solutions could result in considerably lower overall costs. This same study also described how the use of Micro Water Jet Technology eliminated chronic infections in the wounds, reduced the duration of wound healing by 30%, and that there were no complications observed in these patients.



With wound complications being one of the main drivers for patient readmission, these data highlight how the adoption of Micro Water Jet Technology, could reduce hospital readmission rates, the overall cost of wound care, while improving the quality measures of the hospital.

References

1. Weiss AJ, Elixhauser A, Claudia, and Steiner C. (2010). Readmissions to U.S. Hospitals by Procedure (2010). *Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality, Statistical Brief 154*. (<https://hcup-us.ahrq.govreports/statbriefs/sb154.pdf>).
2. Holscher CM, Hicks CW, Canner JK, Sherman RL, Malas MB, Black III JH, Mathioudakis N, Abularrage CJ. (2018). Unplanned 30-day readmission in patients with diabetic foot wounds treated in a mult disciplinary setting. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 67(3): 876-886.
3. Hicks CW, Canner JK, Karagozlu H, Mathioudakis N, Sherman RL, Black III JH, Abularrage CJ. (2019). Contribution of 30-day readmissions to the increasing costs of care for the diabetic foot. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 70(4): 1263-1270.
4. McPhee JT, Barshes NR, Ho KJ, Madenci A, Ozaki K, Nguyen LL, Belkin M. (2013). Predictive factors of 30-day unplanned readmission after lower extremity bypass. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 57(4): 955-962.
5. Fischer JP, Wes A, Nelson JA, Serletti J, Kovach SJ. (2013). Factors Associated with Readmission following Plastic Surgery. *Plastic and Reconstructive Surgery*. 132(3): 666-674.
6. Orr NT, El-Maraghi S, Korosec RL, Davenport DL, Xenos ES. (2015). Cost analysis of vascular readmissions after common vascular procedures. *Journal of Vascular Surgery*. 62(5): 1281-1287.
7. Medaxis AG. (2020). debritor+: Pressure from Standard Jet. (<https://medaxis.ch/dl/a2bce66d60bcfadd88fbb2f0bdb6819b/Impact-Pressure.pdf>)
8. Reber M, Nussbaumer P. (2018). Effective debridement with micro water jet technology (MWT): A retrospective clinical application observation of 90 patients with acute and chronic wounds. *Wound Medicine*. 20: 35-42.